

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/712,987	11/12/2003	Terrence W. Schmidt	1934-8-3	7342
75	90 06/23/2004		EXAM	INER
Bryan A. Santarelli			OLSON, LARS A	
GRAYBEAL JACKSON HALEY LLP Suite 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
155 - 108th Avenue NE			3617	
Bellevue, WA	98004-5901		DATE MAILED: 06/23/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<b>⊥</b>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1		
	10/712,987	SCHMIDT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1		
	Lars A Olson	3617	<i>)</i> 		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address	-		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, m n. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) tatute, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal i		<b>S</b>		
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and continuous pending in the application pending in the application and continuous pending in the application and continuous pending in the application pending in</li></ul>	ndrawn from consideration				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 November 2003  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or the drawing(s) be held in ab prection is required if the dra	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received nents have been received priority documents have b ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper B/08) 5) ☐ Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :			

Art Unit: 3617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7, 9-12, 19-22, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kirby et al. (US 3,913,512).

Kirby et al. discloses the same method as claimed, as shown in Figures 1-6, that is comprised of the steps of retrieving a module, defined as Part #16, with a vessel in the form of a ship, defined as Part #10 as shown in Figures 1 and 2, and installing said module in said vessel, as shown in Figures 4 and 5, by moving said module into said vessel using a ramp, defined as Part #23, and lifting said module using a crane system, defined as Part #80. Kirby et al. also discloses a method comprised of the steps of uncoupling a module from a bay of a vessel, and removing said module from said bay, as shown in Figure 3, by sliding said module down a ramp, defined as Part #23. Kirby et al. also discloses a method comprised of the steps of removing a first module from a vessel, as shown in Figure 3, and installing a second module in said vessel, as shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Art Unit: 3617

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirby et al.

Kirby et al., as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of a vessel in the form of an aircraft, a land vehicle, and a space ship.

The examiner takes official notice that the use of an aircraft to retrieve a cargo module by installing said module in said aircraft is known in the art.

The examiner takes official notice that the use of a land vehicle such as a semi truck to retrieve a cargo module by installing said module in said land vehicle is known in the art.

The examiner takes official notice that the use of a space ship such as the Space Shuttle to retrieve a cargo module by installing said module in said space ship is known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a vessel in the form of an aircraft, land vehicle or space ship in combination with the method for retrieving a module as disclosed by Kirby et al. for the purpose of providing a vessel for retrieving a cargo module that is capable of travel through the air, over land, or in space.

Art Unit: 3617

5. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirby et al. in view of Vernede et al. (US 3,835,802).

Kirby et al., as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of a vessel in the form of a multi-hull ship with a means for lifting a module into said vessel.

Vernede discloses a marine cargo vessel, as shown in Figures 1-10, with multiple hulls, defined as Parts #1 and 2, and a means for lifting a cargo module into a cargo bay of said vessel, as shown in Figures 1, 2, 6 and 7.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a vessel with multiple hulls and a means for lifting a module into said vessel, as taught by Vernede et al., in combination with the method for retrieving a module as disclosed by Kirby et al. for the purpose of providing a vessel that does not require ballasting in order to retrieve a module into a bay of said vessel.

6. Claims 16-18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirby et al. in view of Aavitsland (US 5,862,770).

Kirby et al., as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of a vessel that is coupled to a module by lowering said vessel onto said module with a ballasting system, and uncoupled from said module by raising said vessel with said ballasting system.

Aavitsland discloses a vessel, as shown in Figures 1-3, that is coupled to a module, defined as Part #2, by lowering said vessel onto said module with a ballasting

Art Unit: 3617

system, as described in lines 63-67 of column 1, and uncoupled from said module by

subsequently raising said vessel with said ballasting system.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time of the invention, to utilize a vessel that is coupled to a module by lowering said

vessel onto said module with a ballasting system, and uncoupled from said module by

raising said vessel with said ballasting system, as taught by Aavitsland, in combination

with the method for retrieving a module as disclosed by Kirby et al. for the purpose of

providing a vessel that does not require a means for lifting a cargo module in order to

retrieve said module into a bay of said vessel.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Kirby et al. (US 4,135,468), Kossa et al. (US 3,934,530) and

Ballin et al. (US 1,107,741) disclose various methods for retrieving cargo modules with

a vessel, and installing said modules in said vessel.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed

to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (703) 308-9807.

lo

June 15, 2004

LARSA OLSON PATENT EXAMMER

Page 5

6/15/04